PCET策略在惰性键活化中的应用

汇报人:段凌霏 导师:左智伟研究员 2022.9.2

Proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET)

elementary step (or series of elementary steps) in which both a proton and an electron are exchanged.



PCET在生物化学过程中的体现



Meyer T. J. et al. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5284 – 5304.

□ 核糖还原酶远程PCET还原



PCET过程在反应坐标中的表现



Baran P. S. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 12692–12714.

传统HAT反应的限制因素

□ HAT反应在热力学上可能存在难度

BDFE: Bond Dissociation Free Energy 化学键异裂所需的能量+将所得负离子单电子氧化所需能量+将质子单电子还原所需能量



氧化型HAT反应中破坏X—H键需要形成一个键能更强的X′—H键



还原HAT反应往往缺少合适的H供体

PCET与HAT的表观区别

The proton and electron in a PCET process need not originate from the same bond, or even the same molecule



Mayer J. M. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 29, 9874–9875.



Peters J. C. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 44, 18963-18970.

6

HAT is definitely a kind of PCET, ET and PT from the same bond.

PCET与HAT的热力学区别

□ 与HAT相比,PCET活化能力的调节范围往往更大



BDFE(kcal/mol) = $1.37pK_a + 23.06E^{\circ}(R^{-}/R^{\circ}) + 23.06E^{\circ}(H^{+}/H^{\circ})$

同一分子的pKa和E^o往往呈负相关 难以调节(HAT试剂发展的困难)



BDFE(kcal/mol) = $1.37pK_a + 23.06E^{\circ}(M^n/M^{n+1}) + 23.06E^{\circ}(H^+/H^{\circ})$

来自于两个不同组分,调节范围更大

Knowles R. R. et al. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1546–1556.

PCET选择性与HAT的区别



While electrons tunnel readily over large distances (10 A°), heavier protons can only travel over relatively small distances (1–2 A°).

HAT反应往往优先发生在键能较弱的 C-H键

PCET反应往往优先发生在较易形成H 键但键能更强的X−H键

Bietti M. et al. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2895–2903. Hammes Schiffer H. et al. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 3466–3494.

PCET机理的证明方法



Hammarström L. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 560-576.

一、氧化型PCET反应



Photoredox PCET Cascade Amidoarylation of Unactivated Olefins





Scope of substrates



Remote Allylation of Unactivated C(sp³)–H Bonds



Tambar U. K. et al. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 4627–4631.

Proposed Mechanism



Tambar U. K. et al. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 4627–4631.

Ring-Opening of Cyclic Alcohols Enabled by PCET



BDFE: bond dissociation free energy



		MeQ							
HO	32	NMe ₂	NMe NMe	OMe C	Me		MeO		
Base	$E_{\rm p/2}~(V)$	0.39	0.69	0.92	0.96	1.18	1.22	1.24	1.27
2-MeO-pyridine	'BDFE'	77	84	90	91	96	97	97	98
pK _a = 9.9	Yield (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	<5	8
pyridine	'BDFE'	81	88	93	94	99	100	101	101
pK _a = 12.5	Yield (%)	0	0	0	<5	6	16	5	19
CF ₃ COO-	'BDFE'	81	88	93	94	99	100	101	101
pK _a = 12.5	Yield (%)	0	0	0	0	23	87	97	18
collidine	'BDFE'	84	91	97	98	103	104	104	105
pK _a =15	Yield (%)	0	0	<5	7	86	86	41	84

□ 热力学计算数值在PCET反应中准确度较高



Proposed Mechanism



Knowles R. R. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10794–10797.

Visible-Light-Mediated Organocatalyzed Thiol–Ene Reaction



Dilman D. A. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 8337-8343.

Direct Arylation of Benzylic Ethers



MacMillan. D. W. C. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 626-629. 20

Scope of substrates



MacMillan. D. W. C. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 626–629. 21

Dimerization of Oxindoles with Acridinium Betaine as Catalyst



Ooi T. et al. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 2765–2769.

一、氧化型PCET反应



PCET: Reductive Transformations of Imines (C=N)

Electrochemical Synthesis of Hindered Primary and Secondary Amines



Rovis T. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 468–478.

PCET: Reductive Transformations of Imines (C=N)

Electrochemical Synthesis of Hindered Primary and Secondary Amines



Rovis T. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 468–478. 25

PCET: Reductive Transformations of Carbonyls (C=O)

Reductive Pinacol-Type Couplings



Collins. S. K. et al. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 9458-9464. 26

PCET: Reductive Transformations of C=C π **-Bonds**





Mayer J. M. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 10687–10692. 27

PCET: Reductive Transformations of C=C π **-Bonds**

S $mI_2(H_2O)_n$ Reduction of Electron Rich Enamines



Mayer J. M. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 10687–10692. 28

PCET: Reductive Transformations of S* Radicals

Deracemization enabled by excited-state electron transfer



PCET: Reductive Transformations of S* Radicals



Knowles R. R. et al. *Science* **2019**, *366*, *364–369*. **30**

一、氧化型PCET反应



References :

- 1. Leif H. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 17245-17259.
- 2. Knowles R. R. et al. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1546–1556.
- 3. Hammarström L. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 560–576.
- 4. Molander. G. A. et al. Chem. 2019, 5, 339–352.
- 5. Tambar U. K. et al. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 4627–4631.
- 6. Knowles R. R. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10794–10797.
- 7. MacMillan. D. W. C. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 626–629.
- 8. Rovis T. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 468-478.
- 9. Collins. S. K. et al. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 9458–9464.
- 10. Mayer J. M. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 10687–10692.
- 11. Knowles R. R. et al. Science **2019**, 366, 364–369.